

**Jury demand date:**

TITLE OF CASE				ATTORNEYS					
<p>Union Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. Downtown Warehouse Company, a corporation, Defendant.</p>				<p>For plaintiff: William K Powers Suite 1501 4th National Bank Bldg. Tulsa, Ok. 74119</p> <p>For defendant: H. Tom Hendren 808 Wright Bldg. Tulsa, Okla. 74103 <i>585-9727</i></p>					
STATISTICAL RECORD		COSTS		DATE	NAME OR RECEIPT NO.	REC.		DISB.	
J.S. 5 mailed <i>2-1-74</i>		Clerk		1974 1-31 2-7-74	Powers C/D #30	15 00		15 00	
J.S. 6 mailed <i>12-1-74</i>		Marshal							
Basis of Action: Money Judgement		Docket fee							
Action arose at:		Witness fees							
		Depositions							

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
1-30-74	1. Complaint, filed. s Summons Issued.	
2-11-74	. Summons returned and filed, served on Downtown Warehouse Company, U.S. Marshal's fee \$3.00.s Date of Service, Feb. 8th 1974, in Tulsa, Okla.	
3-1-74	2. Answer of Downtown Warehouse Co., filed.s	
3-20-74	Parties directed to hold pre-trial conference prior to 4-25-74 and file PT order not later than 5-2-74. (AEB-J)s	
3-27-74	3. Deft's Motion for Summary Judgment, with Affidavit of Geo. Mitchell; Exhibits A and B; Affidavit of R. W. Hubbard; and Brief in Support of Mo. for S/J, filed. e <i>Letter mailed</i>	
3-28-74	4. Appl. for order striking case from pre-trial docket, filed by Deft. v (o)	
4-1-74	5. Appl. to Extend Period of Time in Which to Respond to Deft's Motion for Summary Judgment. e (o to j)	
4-1-74	6 Order, filed, ext. time to 5-7-74 to pltf. to respond to mot. for summary judgment; and ext. time to submit pre-trial order to 6-1-74. g copies mailed.	
5-3-74	7. Motion requesting oral argument, filed. v (o)	
5-3-74	8. Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition to Deft's Mot. for Summary Judgment, filed. v	
5-14-74	9. <sup>Pltf's</sup> Supplemental Mtn. Requesting Oral Argument, filed. jj O to J	
6-3-74	10. APPLICATION to Extend Period of Time in Which to Comply with the P/T Order until 7-1-74 filed by Pltf. jj O to J	
6-3-74	11. STIPULATION between attys of record as to extension of time, filed by Pltf. and Deft. jj	
6-4-74	12. ORDER, filed, granting pltf. until 7-1-74 to respond to Pre-trial order of the Court. (AEB-J)g <i>copies mailed</i>	
6-28-74	13. APPL. to extend period of time in which to comply with the Court's pretrial order of March 20, 1974, as to holding of a pretrial conference and submission of a pretrial order, filed by Pltf. v (o)	
7-2-74	14. Order extending time for Plaintiff to respond to Pretrial Order until twenty (20) days from the date that the Court makes a final ruling on the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed. (AEB-J)k copies mailed	
7-10-74	15. Order, filed Overruling Def's Motion for Summary Judgment. (AEB-J)k copies mailed.k	
7-17-74	16. Motion to reconsider order and motion to refer to magistrate, filed.k	

D. C. 110A Rev. Civil Docket Continuation

⊕

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
8-20-74	Deft's motion to reconsider prior ruling on motion for Summary Judgment as sustained and motion for summary judgment re-set for hearing before the US Magistrate, Morris L. Bradford, for 8-20-74. Ordered by the Magistrate.(MLB-Mag)b	
8-20-74	Hearing held on Deft's motion to reconsider order on motion for summary judgment before Magistrate, Morris L. Bradford. Parties represented. It is recommended that motion for S/J be sustained. Deft's counsel to prepare proper order.(MLB-MAG)b	
9-23-74	Findings and Recommendations of Magistrate, filed. (MLB-USMag)v (o)	
11-7-74	Order, filed and entered, sustaining def. mo/SJ and dismissing complaint and cause of action, at pltf. cost. (AEB-J)rm-copies mailed	
4-2-75	Marshals service returned and filed. Served Cty. Treas., Tulsa Cty., Bd. of Cty. Commrs., 2-26-75 at Tulsa, Okla.; Howard Fields, Norside Loan, Inc., E.H. Churchwell, Verdell L. Curtis, 2-27-75 at Tulsa, Okla. and Zales Jewelry, Inc., 3-6-75 at Okla. City, Okla.(USM \$25.60) jj	

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Note